

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 027044

60

ORIGIN ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 PM-07 SS-20 NSC-10 DODE-00

CIAE-00 INR-10 L-03 NEA-11 NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01

PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAM-01 SAJ-01 H-03 IO-14

OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01 /165 R

DRAFTED BY ACDA/IR:PSEMLER
APPROVED BY ACDA/IR:DLINEBAUGH
C:LEHOVICH
ACDA/IR:THIRSCHFELD
EUR/RPM:AKEISWETTER
PM/DCA:DCAMITTA
OSD/ISA:COL.LMICHAEL
S/S: MR. LUERS
NSC:MPOWER
JCS/J-5:COL.PBARRY

----- 110511

P R 090053Z FEB 74
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION NATO PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY VIENNA PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEU

S E C R E T STATE 027044

E.O. LL652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
SUBJECT: MBFR: SPC VERIFICATION PAPER

REF: A.NATO 236; B.NATO 472; C.NATO 497; D.NATO 528;
E.VIENNA 834; F.VIENNA 848; G. VIENNA 884

VIENNA FOR USDEL MBFR
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 027044

1. FOLLOWING IS GUIDANCE ON NEW REVISIONS IN SPC VERIFICATION PAPER.

2. NATIONAL TECHNICAL MEANS (PARA 5 OF TEXT). PER PARA 1, REF D, WE WOULD HOPE YOU CAN BUILD UPON UK SUPPORT IN FAVOR OF US LANGUAGE QUOTE BE INTERESTED IN UNQUOTE. HOWEVER, WE CAN ACCEPT QUOTE MAY WISH TO SHARE INFORMATION UNQUOTE IF FRG REMAINS ADAMANT ON GROUNDS THAT SUCH WORDING DOES NOT RPT NOT COMMIT USG TO POSITIVE ACTION.

3. VERIFICATION OF STABILIZING MEASURES. WE HOPE YOU CAN DISSUADE BELGIANS AND OTHERS FROM CONTINUING TO PRESS THIS IDEA. TO WEIGH DOWN NEGOTIABILITY OF STABILIZING MEASURES WITH ADDITIONAL VERIFICATION REQUIREMENTS SEEMS TO US AN UNPROMISING APPROACH. ASIDE FROM NEGOTIABILITY, THE MAGNITUDE OF THE INSPECTION APPARATUS WHICH WOULD BE REQUIRED TO INSURE IDENTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE FOUR STABILIZING MEASURES PROPOSED IN PARA 23 OF THE ALLIED

APPROACH PAPER AS NOW DRAFTED SEEMS TO US TO BE NEITHER PRACTICAL NOR FEASIBLE. OBVIOUSLY, MOBILE OR STATIC INSPECTION TEAMS WOULD BE HELPFUL IN DETECTING VIOLATIONS OF SOME TERMS OF THE STABILIZING MEASURES, AND MOBILE TEAMS AT LEAST COULD PROBABLY DETECT GROSS VIOLATIONS, BUT WE FEEL

THEIR FIRST MISSION SHOULD BE THE CONTINUOUS MONITORING OF POST-REDUCTION FORCE LEVELS.

4. MEASURES OUTSIDE THE NGA (PARA 9, REF TEL A) HOPE TURK AND GREEKS CAN BE BROUGHT TO SEE THAT THIS IS REALLY NON-STARTER WITH UNACCEPTABLE RECIPROCAL IMPLICATIONS.

5. LIAISON OFFICERS. WE LIKE YOUR SUGGESTION THAT ALLIES LEAVE IT UP TO SOVIETS TO PROPOSE LIAISON OFFICERS. SUCH A SOVIET PROPOSAL, WOULD, AS YOU SAY, GIVE ALLIES OPPORTUNITY TO PROPOSE OPERATING CONDITIONS FOR THE LIAISON OFFICERS TO THEIR LIKING.

6. MOBILE TEAMS VS. STATIC POSTS. WE WOULD NOT ARGUE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 027044

WITH UK JUDGMENT THAT MOBILE TEAMS SUPPLEMENTED BY STATIC POSTS AT KEY JUNCTIONS WOULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE THAN MOBILE TEAMS ALONE (ALTHOUGH THE AMOUNT OF MANPOWER AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT REQUIRED MIGHT CAUSE SOME COUNTRIES TO LOSE THEIR ENTHUSIASM FOR THIS COMBINATION).

7. OUR PREFERENCE FOR PUTTING FORWARD MOBILE TEAMS AND USING STATIC POSTS AS FALLBACK IS BASED ON TACTICAL GROUNDS.

GIVEN CHOICE BETWEEN TEAMS ROAMING ABOUT THE COUNTRYSIDE AS COMPARED TO INSPECTION TEAMS ANCHORED IN SELECTED CITIES AND TRANSPORTATION HUBS, WE BELIEVE EAST MIGHT ALL TOO EASILY ACCEPT THE STATIC POSTS AND ADVERTISE THAT ACCEPTANCE AS A VERY MAJOR CONCESSION TO THE LONG-STANDING WESTERN INSISTENCE ON ON-SITE INSPECTION. WE BELIEVE THAT WE SHOULD CENTER OUR EFFORT ON MOBILE TEAMS TO AVOID THAT POSSIBILITY. IF WE CAN REACH AGREEMENT UPON THEM, THEN WE CAN INTRODUCE IDEA OF A LIMITED NUMBER OF STATIC POSTS WITH WHICH TO SUPPLEMENT THE MOBILE TEAMS. IF, ON THE OTHER HAND, MOBILE TEAMS PROVE NON-NEGOTIABLE, STATIC POSTS WOULD BE OUR FALLBACK.

8. OTHER UNBRACKETED UK CHANGES. WE CAN ACCEPT THE UK CHANGES AND ADDITIONS ALTHOUGH WE BELIEVE THAT NEW SENTENCE INTRODUCED INTO PARA 33 OF REF C IS HIGHLY SPECULATIVE AND DETRACTS FROM OTHER IMPORTANT POINTS MADE IN PARAGRAPH. SUGGEST YOU REQUEST ITS DELETION.

9. WITH RESPECT TO QUESTION POSED PARA 23, REFTTEL E, AND FURTHER COMMENTS REFTTEL G, WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF AHG COULD PRESENT BASIC CONCEPTS OF ALLIED POSITION ON VERIFICATION BEFORE EASTER RECESS. WE BELIEVE THAT DELAY IN PRESENTING ALLIANCE VIEWS ON NEGOTIATED INSPECTION AT LEAST IN BROAD BRUSH TREATMENT LONG AFTER STABILIZING MEASURES HAD BEEN INTRODUCED AND PERHAPS DISCUSSED IN

PLENARY WOULD CAST DOUBT ON OUR SERIOUSNESS OF PURPOSE IN OBTAINING EFFECTIVE VERIFICATION. WE WOULD HOPE THAT NATO COULD REACH AGREEMENT ON VERIFICATION PAPER IN GOOD TIME FOR AHG TO DRAW UPON IT FOR USE BEFORE EASTER. KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: FORCE & TROOP LEVELS, COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 09 FEB 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE027044
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: PSEMLER
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740243/aaaabooe.tel
Line Count: 146
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ORIGIN ACDA
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A.NATO 236; B.NATO 472; C.NATO 497;; D.NATO 528;
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20 MAR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAR 2002 by garlanwa>; APPROVED <01 MAY 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: SPC VERIFICATION PAPER
TAGS: PARM, NATO, SPC, MBFR
To: NATO BRUSSELS VIENNA
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005